

United States Patent and Trademark Office

United STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Advances CONMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. vol. 1450
Alexandra Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/940,982	08/29/2001	Takashi Endo	NIT-295	5993	
24956	24956 7590 10/30/2006			EXAMINER	
MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C. 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 370 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			DAVIS, ZACHARY A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2137		
			DATE MAILED: 10/30/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/940,982	ENDO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Zachary A. Davis	2137		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 16 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. 🛛 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on ____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ____ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. \(\subseteq \text{Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As noted in the interview conducted 12 September 2006, in reference in particular to independent Claim 1, the Jaffe reference, US Patent 6510518, has been generally and broadly regarded as teaching the desirability of constant Hamming weight representations any time that data may be susceptible to power analysis attacks. This is in contrast to Applicant's assertion that "the Examiner seems to recognize such an interpretation may be overly broad" (page 9 of the present response), as the Examiner noted in the interview. Regarding the dependent claims, the Examiner believes that the cited art also discloses the claimed subject matter as previously set forth. Particularly regarding the contention that Jaffe does not address generation of random numbers, the Examiner respectfully disagrees, noting that Jaffe discloses that initialization to random states may be used (see column 7, lines 62-64). For example, regarding Claim 6, the Examiner notes that Jaffe does disclose random initialization (column 7, lines 62-64) and more specifically means for generating numbers each having Hamming weight equal to half the number of bits in the generated number (see Figures 1 and 4), bit inversion means (see column 8, lines 41-45, as previously cited; also Figure 1, step 150; Figure 4, step 450), and bit concatenation means (see, for example, Figure 1, steps 110-120; Figure 4, steps 410-420).

Continuation of 13. Other: The Terminal Disclaimer filed on 16 October 2006 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of Patent No. 6,615,354 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.